

**Joint Transportation Board - 14 June 2010**

**The Kent County Council (Brook Street Area, Tonbridge & Malling) (Prohibition and Restriction of Waiting)  
Order 2010**

**Table of Objections to Developer Funded Parking Management Proposals**

**Date Notices Erected on site: Friday 26 March 2010**  
**Notice Published in Kent on Sunday 28 March 2010**  
**End of Public Consultation Period Monday 19 April 2010**

<b>General Responses from Statutory Consultees</b>	
<b>Kent Police</b>	No site specific comments but expectations that the proposals will meet the necessary criteria and regulations
<b>Kent Fire &amp; Rescue</b>	<p>“There still remain a number of locations within the proposed scheme which are not identified as areas where parked vehicles present a problem but where access for the Fire Appliances is severely restricted. Forward access can be maintained where the available road width remains at a minimum of 3.7 metres. It is necessary to allow additional road space/width to safely accommodate the length of vehicle when our drivers need to turn to negotiate staggered ranks of parked vehicles, sharp bends or junction access points”</p> <p>KF&amp;RS cite a number of specific locations where additional restrictions are requested as part of this scheme and these are described below.</p>
<b>Public Transport reps</b>	New Venture Coaches - Welcome proposals to ensure clear passage of well used bus service on these local roads
<b>Statutory Consultees –</b> KCC County Councillors Borough Councillors Kent Ambulance Service Road Transport Association/ Road Haulage Association	<b>No Responses</b>

Source of Comment	Extracts of Comments Received
<p><b>Burns Crescent</b></p> <p><b>The Proposals</b></p> <p>Three short sections of Single Yellow Line operating Monday to Saturday 8 am – 6 pm to manage roadside parking and minimise obstruction to through traffic</p> <p>The proposed SYL restrictions to operate to match those already in place - to deter obstructive parking when sporting events occur on nearby sports fields</p>	
<p><b>Kent Fire and Rescue Comment</b></p>	<p>Vehicles park opposite the lay by in Burns Crescent near the junction with College Avenue and also in the lay by which restricts turning into the junction</p> <p>The proposed staggered parking along Burns Crescent is aimed at improving the present problems with restricted access but spaces in between the proposed staggered bays are too close to give our drivers room to swing around the start of the opposing blocks of vehicles</p>
<p><b>Residents Association</b></p>	<p>Proposed Single yellow line will cause displacement and will penalise residents in Burns Crescent</p>
<p><b>Resident Objection</b></p>	<p>Proposals do not relate to results of survey</p> <p>Less Parking spaces being available</p> <p>Creates competition amongst residents</p> <p>Traffic Flow further restricted</p> <p>No justification for restrictions after 4 pm</p> <p>No need for Saturday restrictions</p> <p>No parking issues during school holidays</p>

Source of Comment	Extracts of Comments Received
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>This objection took the form of a series of comments on various aspects of the TRO process, but central to the representation was objection to the waiting restrictions proposed in Burns Crescent.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The JTB took a decision on inaccurate and misleading information and without full consideration of all the issues</li> <li>• Draft Order is not as agreed by Cllrs at JTB</li> <li>• Planning consent and condition is not satisfied</li> <li>• Proposal is not evidence based</li> <li>• Statement of Reasons is not supported in the proposals for Burns Crescent</li> <li>• Proposals will affect residents and not achieve improvements in traffic flow parking or safety</li> <li>• Position of SYL outside No 50</li> </ul> <p>This objection also included a residents' survey from over 20 households in Burns Crescent most of which are included in the objections summarised below.</p> <p><i>The objector has received a reply to the various comments. For the purposes of this analysis and in the light of a range of other representations in Burns Crescent, the recommended approach will be to uphold the objection (see below).</i></p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Object to the single yellow line restrictions as they are for too long a time period should only cover college hours during term time No parking problem on a Saturday</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Opposed to loss of parking spaces as will make situation worse</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Additional lines will unfairly affect local residents who should have parking priority with free residents parking</p>

Source of Comment	Extracts of Comments Received
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Should be more car parking made available not less            No benefit in reducing spaces o/s No 19            Better to put DYL on corners and junctions            Saturday parking not an issue            Need residents "only "parking            Needs a one way system            More responsibility taken by schools and integrated public transport plan            More onsite parking for schools            Build additional lay bys on grassed areas            Survey results do not reflect the current situation            Insufficient consultation and choice</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Better to have one-way system with DYL on one side of road and DYL at junctions            No problems parking Saturdays            Reduce operational times to 8 am – 4 pm            Proposals will only transfer parking further along road</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Fewer places for residents and no problems after 4.30 pm or at weekend</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Will lose 6 parking spaces – no need for restrictions on Saturdays            SYL outside No 50 is in wrong place            Make it one way and keep all parking places            Should have been discussed with residents rather than making arbitrary decisions based on one site visit</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Reduction in parking spaces            There are no parking problems in this area            College parking is of a temporary nature            Use WKC land to provide car parks not housing</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Reduction in spaces</p>

<b>Source of Comment</b>	<b>Extracts of Comments Received</b>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Not possible to unload shopping without using SYL. PCN issued whilst parking in lay by with current restrictions
<b>Resident Objection</b>	College should build multi storey for student cars
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Reduction in parking spaces
<b>Resident Objection</b>	DYL will just move the problem elsewhere Will restrict the flow of traffic Will be forced eventually to pay for residents parking Disabled driver relies on car so do not expect to pay
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Reduction in spaces taking up other residents spaces No safety issues with accidents Not a problem weekends No action should be taken on any of the roads until the College work is completed unless its an issue of obstruction like in Masefield and Scott Roads
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Proposal will not help residents parking Reduction in parking space Arrange for students to park outside the area like in Canterbury Park the students on grass area in Upper Haysden Lane as this is what happens on Saturdays when rugby played Need residents parking Burns Crescent needs a one way system
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Proposals will force more people to park in cul de sac end of Burns Crescent Need Resident Parking Road obstructed by College Builders, students and pupils from schools

Source of Comment	Extracts of Comments Received
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Improvements to traffic flow at the expense of residents parking spaces One way system needed Passing places created in the grass verges SYL times will compound problems finding a space Need residents parking with dedicated spaces Schools and Colleges should accommodate parking within own sites
<b>Resident of Shakespeare Road</b>	On behalf of Burns Crescent as proposal for all drivers to spread more parking around estate. Need more parking in the college
<b>Visitor</b>	<b>Objection</b> – on behalf of Burns Crescent
<b>Visitor</b>	<b>Support</b> - Daily visitor needs to park the road so support current proposals and also support any future decisions for residents parking - Problems are caused by residents having too many cars and wanting to park outside their own home
<b>Recommendation – Burns Crescent</b>  <b>Defer the proposed changes indefinitely unless there is any future directive from KFRS insisting on waiting restrictions to curb parking that has potential to prevent access by fire tenders</b>	
<b>Scott Road</b>  <b>Proposals</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DYL between Shakespeare Road and Brook Street to protect junctions, turning and access points clear.</li> <li>• Bus stop clearway to assist public transport access and operation</li> </ul> <p><i>Note - The enforceable Bus stop clearway is in place in advance of the other proposals as this does not need a TRO and in response to concerns about obstruction in the area.</i></p>	

<b>Source of Comment</b>	<b>Extracts of Comments Received</b>
<b>Kent Police</b>	No specific observations to be made to the proposals
<b>Kent Fire and Rescue</b>	No specific observations to be made to the proposals
<b>Residents Association</b>	Support - Proposed DYL
<p><b>Recommendation for Scott Road</b></p> <p><b>Proceed with the proposal as advertised</b></p>	
<p><b>Shakespeare Road</b></p> <p><b>The proposals</b></p> <p>Short extension of the existing DYL into the highway access leading to parking area for Nos 1 – 4 Shakespeare Road</p>	
<b>Kent Police</b>	No specific observations to be made to the proposals
<b>Kent Fire and Rescue</b>	Parking on and around the junction of Shakespeare Road and Masefield Way restricts access for the fire appliance
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>On behalf of Burns Crescent Residents ( included in that section)</p> <p>Need more parking in the college</p> <p>Replace proposed DYL on junction o/s No 20 with APM</p>



Source of Comment	Extracts of Comments Received
<p><b>Recommendation for Shakespeare Road</b></p> <p>Proceed with proposal as advertised and monitor parking around junction with Masefield Way to see if there is justification for DYL corner protection</p>	
<p><b>Old Barn Close</b></p> <p>The proposals</p> <p>Extended DYL further into cul de sac to protect junction access and sight lines</p>	
<p><b>Kent Police</b></p>	<p>No specific observations to be made to the proposals</p>
<p><b>Kent Fire and Rescue</b></p>	<p>No specific observations to be made to the proposals</p>
<p><b>Residents Association</b></p>	<p>Support - Proposed DYL</p>
	<p><b>Recommendation for Old Barn Close</b></p> <p>Proceed with the proposal as advertised</p>
<p><b>College Avenue</b></p> <p>The proposals</p> <p>Short sections of SYL operating Monday – Saturday 8 am – 6 pm to protect access to through traffic, sight lines and provide passing areas.</p>	

<b>Source of Comment</b>	<b>Extracts of Comments Received</b>
<b>Kent Police</b>	No specific observations to be made to the proposals
<b>Kent Fire and Rescue</b>	No specific observations to be made to the proposals
<b>Residents Association</b>	The proposals for College Avenue will cause displacement of vehicles and push parking to further extremities of the estate
<b>Residents Qualified Support</b>	If the restricted times of parking are 9 am – 12 noon or 11 am to 2 pm Monday to Friday as this will solve most of the parking problems in the area
<b>Residents Qualified Support</b>	Would like the restrictions extended to cover the frontage of property
<b>Resident support</b>	<p>- if there are residents parking bays</p> <p>College Road to be one way from Burns Crescent to Brindle Fields</p> <p>Parking one side of road only</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>Not a problem before College started work and reasons to expect this to be the same after work is completed</p> <p>Proposals show cars parked kerbside between driveways which will cause visibility problems</p> <p>Proposals between nos 64 and 70 unnecessary</p> <p>Saturday restrictions unnecessary</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>SYL daytime will prevent visitors parking weekdays including Saturdays</p> <p>Parking should improve when building work complete</p> <p>No need for restrictions</p>
<b>Resident Objection</b>	<p>to moving parking into chicanes on the grounds never seen them before on a bus route</p> <p>Proposals will move parking to outside objectors property</p> <p>Will cause problems with sight lines when exiting drive</p>

Source of Comment	Extracts of Comments Received
Resident Objection	Proposed SYL will cause displacement and will penalise residents
<b>Recommendation for College Avenue</b>  <b>Amend proposals to exclude Saturday daytime restrictions</b>	
<b>Masefield Way - No proposals for this road in the scheme</b>	
Kent Fire and Rescue	<p>Parking along Masefield Way is uncontrolled and although drivers choose to stagger the blocks on opposing sides of the road they leave insufficient room to safely manoeuvre fire service vehicles</p> <p>Parking on and around the junction of Masefield Way and Shakespeare Road is a location where parking occurs and restricts access for the fire appliance but no action shown on the proposals</p>
<b>Recommendations for Masefield Way</b>  <b>Monitor parking in this area and take necessary action to control areas where obstruction is shown to occur</b>	
<b>Brook Street - No proposals for this road in the scheme</b>  <b>Proposals to control parking on and around the Haysden Triangle are being processed in advance of the developer led scheme</b>	
Kent Fire and Rescue	Parking between the junctions of Masefield Way and Scott Road recently restricted the fire appliance from turning into Lower Haysden Lane and emergency response time was delayed. Also parking here restricts vision for drivers exiting Masefield Way increasing safety risks
Residents Association	Parking between Scott Road and Masefield needs to be dealt with immediately
	<b>Note – A response to these comments is already being carried out under a separate initiative.</b>

Source of Comment	Extracts of Comments Received
<b>West Rise - No proposals for this road in the scheme</b>	
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Concerns about the scheme transferring parking onto other roads off College Avenue
<b>Resident Objection</b>	Restrictions in College Avenue and Shakespeare Road will transfer parking into West Rise and The Spinney. Most problems are caused by development parking and some school traffic
<b>Recommendation for West Rise</b>  <b>Monitor effects of transferred parking after implementation</b>	